

GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL

AND

KUZNIAR, Anna

[Registration number: 84309]

NOTICE OF INQUIRY

SUBSTANTIVE HEARING

Notice that an inquiry will be conducted by a Practice Committee of the General Dental Council, commencing at **10:00am** on **5 October 2026**.

Please note that this hearing will be conducted remotely by video conference.

The heads of charge contained within this sheet are current at the date of publication. They are subject to amendments at any time before or during the hearing. For the final charge, findings of fact and determination against the registrant, please visit the Recent Decisions page at <https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions> after this hearing has finished.

Committee members

Anne Ng	DCP	Chair
Robin Barber	Dentist	
Jane Jones	Lay	

Advisers:

William Hoskins	Legal Adviser
-----------------	---------------

CHARGE

Anna KUZNIAR, a dentist, Lek Stom Wroclaw 1998 is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 5 October 2026 for an inquiry into the following charge:

The Charge

“That, being registered as a dentist:

Standard of Care

1. Between 1 April 2022 and 17 February 2023, you failed to provide an adequate standard of care to various patients (identified in Schedule A below) for whom you provided dental treatment, in that:
 - a. You provided a poor standard of endodontic treatment to the patients set out in schedule 1.
 - b. You provided a poor standard of radiographic treatment to the patients set out in schedule 2.
 - c. In relation to Patient 14, at an appointment on 13/08/2022, you exposed an OPG radiograph without any, or any adequate, clinical justification.
 - d. In relation to Patient 25, you did not carry out sufficient diagnostic assessments, in that you did not take a periapical radiograph of the UL2 before placing a crown.
 - e. In relation to Patient 33, at appointments between 20/05/2022 and 30/07/2022:
 - i. You did not take any bitewing radiographs
 - ii. You did not diagnose caries at:
 1. LR6
 2. LL7
 3. UL5
 - iii. You did not treat, adequately or at all, caries present at:
 1. LL5
 2. LL6
 - f. In relation to Patient 40, at appointments between 18/11/2022 and 17/02/2023:
 - i. You provided a poor standard of treatment planning in respect of UR4-6
 - ii. You provided treatment that was not clinically indicated at UR4-6
 - iii. You did not provide any or any adequate advice as to the prognosis of the teeth at UR4 and/or UR6.
 - iv. You did not obtain informed consent for the treatment at UR4-6.

Record Keeping

2. Between 1 April 2022 and 17 February 2023, you failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping, in respect of various patients (identified in Schedule A below) for whom you provided dental treatment, in that:
 - a. You provided dental treatment to the patients set out in schedule 3, on the dates indicated, without making any or any adequate clinical records of having done so.
 - b. You administered local anaesthetic to the patients set out in schedule 4 on the dates indicated, without making any, or any adequate, record of having done so.
 - c. You did not record, adequately or at all, that you had informed the following patients that an endodontic instrument had broken during treatment and remained in the tooth:
 - i. Patient 3
 - ii. Patient 6
 - iii. Patient 19 [alternative to charge 4 below]
 - iv. Patient 34

Radiographic Record Keeping

3. Between 1 April 2022 and 17 February 2023, you failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping in relation to radiographs, in respect of various patients (identified in Schedule A below) for whom you provided dental treatment, in that:
 - a. You exposed radiographs in relation to the patients set out in schedule 5, on the dates indicated, without recording any, or any adequate, radiographic report/clinical evaluation of the radiograph(s), within the clinical records or elsewhere.

Not informing patient of broken instrument

4. In relation to Patient 19, at appointments between 16/09/2022 and 08/10/2022, you failed to inform the patient that an endodontic instrument had broken in his tooth during root canal treatment and remained in his dentition.
5. Your conduct at charge 4 above was:
 - a. Misleading
 - b. Lacking in integrity
 - c. Dishonest, in that you did not inform the patient of what had occurred, so as to conceal the matter from him.

Failure to co-operate with GDC investigation

6. Between 4 July 2023 and 06 November 2023, you failed to co-operate, adequately or at all, with an investigation conducted by the GDC in that you did not provide the GDC with information requested in relation to your indemnity.

Patient 33

7. On a date prior to 24 November 2024,

- a. You used confidential information obtained from the practice record keeping system, namely Patient 33's telephone number, for purposes other than those for which it was given, namely to contact him in order to obtain a written statement from him for use in Employment Tribunal proceedings.
 - b. You filed with the Employment Tribunal and/or served on the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings, a written document, containing confidential information relating to Patient 33 regarding his dental treatment, without first obtaining his consent to release that information.
8. Your conduct at charge 7a above was inappropriate.
9. Your conduct at charge 7b above was:
- a. Inappropriate
 - b. Lacking in integrity
 - c. Dishonest, in that you released Patient 33's confidential information, to further your own interests, knowing that you did not have his consent to do so.
10. On a date prior to 24 November 2024, you filed with the Employment Tribunal and/or served on the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings, a written document purporting to have been written and/or approved by Patient 33, when you knew that it had not been written and/or approved by him.
11. Your conduct at charge 10 above was:
- a. Misleading
 - b. Lacking in integrity
 - c. Dishonest, in that you intentionally sought to mislead the Employment Tribunal and/or the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings into thinking that the document had been written and/or approved by Patient 33 when you knew that was not the case.

Laboratory A (identified in Schedule A below)

12. On a date prior to 24 November 2024, you filed with the Employment Tribunal and/or served on the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings, a written document purporting to have been written and/or approved by Person A from Laboratory A (identified in Schedule A below), when you knew that it had not been written and/or approved by her.
13. Your conduct at charge 12 above was:
- a. Misleading
 - b. Lacking in integrity
 - c. Dishonest, in that you intentionally sought to mislead the Employment Tribunal and/or the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings into thinking that the document had been written and/or approved by Person A from Laboratory A when you knew that was not the case.

Laboratory B (identified in Schedule A below)

14. On a date prior to 24 November 2024, you filed with the Employment Tribunal and/or served on the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings, a written document purporting to have been written and/or approved by Person B from

Laboratory B (identified in Schedule A below), when you knew that it had not been written and/or approved by her.

15. Your conduct at charge 14 above was:

- a. Misleading
- b. Lacking in integrity
- c. Dishonest, in that you intentionally sought to mislead the Employment Tribunal and/or the opposing party in your Employment Tribunal proceedings into thinking that the document had been written and/or approved by Person B from Laboratory B, when you knew that was not the case

AND that by reason of the matters alleged above, your fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct (in relation to all the charges set out above), and/or deficient professional performance (in relation to Charges 1 to 5)."