

GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL

AND

De VIVO, Antonio

[Registration number: 66702]

NOTICE OF INQUIRY

SUBSTANTIVE HEARING

Notice that a resumed inquiry will be conducted by a Practice Committee of the General Dental Council, at:

**Dental Professionals Hearings Service
Avon House,
Avonmore Road,
West Kensington,
London,
W14 8TS**

Commencing at **10:00 am** on **28 September 2026**.

This is a hearing to **review** a previous Practice Committee order.

For the previous decisions made in this case, please see the entry from this registrant's previous hearing in the Recent Decisions page at
<https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions>

A decision document will be available at the Recent Decisions page at
<https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions> after this hearing has finished.

Committee members

Tanya Viehoff	DCP	Chair
Catriona Gerber Walsh	Dentist	
Aditi Chowdhary-Gandhi	Lay	

Advisers:

TBC	Legal Adviser
-----	---------------

CHARGE

Antonio De VIVO, a dentist, BDS University of Witwatersrand 1991 is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 28 September 2026 for an inquiry into the following charge:

The Charge

“That being a registered dentist:

1. You administered a Hepatitis B vaccine to Witness 1, around:
 - a) September 2020;
 - b) October 2020;
 - c) November 2020.
2. You administered a Hepatitis B vaccine to Witness 2, on or around 26 May 2022.
3. In advance of administering the vaccine noted in Charge 1.a), you did not inform Witness 1, that:
 - a) it was not within your scope of practice to administer the vaccine;
 - b) you were not indemnified to administer the vaccine.
4. By reason of your conduct in Charge 3.a) and/or 3.b) you failed to obtain Witness 1's informed consent for the vaccine you administered around September 2020.
5. In advance of administering the vaccine noted in Charge 1.b), you did not inform Witness 1, that:
 - a) it was not within your scope of practice to administer the vaccine;
 - b) you were not indemnified to administer the vaccine.
6. By reason of your conduct in Charge 5.a) and/or 5.b) you failed to obtain Witness 1's informed consent for the vaccine you administered around October 2020.
7. In advance of administering the vaccine noted in Charge 1.c), you did not inform Witness 1, that:
 - a) it was not within your scope of practice to administer the vaccine;
 - b) you were not indemnified to administer the vaccine.
8. By reason of your conduct in Charge 7.a) and/or 7.b) you failed to obtain Witness 1's informed consent for the vaccine you administered around November 2020.
9. Your conduct in Charge 3.a) and/or 5.a) and/or 7.a) was:
 - a) misleading;
 - b) lacking in integrity;
 - c) Dishonest, in that you knew administering Hepatitis B vaccinations was outside the scope of your practise.
10. Your conduct in Charge 3.b) and/or 5.b) and/or 7.b) was:
 - a) misleading;
 - b) lacking in integrity;

- c) Dishonest, in that you knew administering Hepatitis B vaccinations was not covered by your indemnity insurance.
- 11. By reason of your conduct in Charge 1.a) and/or 1.b) and/or 1.c) and/or 2. you have worked beyond your scope of practice.
- 12. Your conduct in Charge 1.a) and/or 1.b) and/or 1.c) put Witness 1's safety at risk.
- 13. Your conduct in Charge 2. put Witness 2's safety at risk.
- 14. You failed to hold adequate indemnity insurance for your actions covered by Charge 1.a) and/or 1.b) and/or 1.c) and/or 2.
- 15. You failed to maintain an adequate standard of record keeping, in that you did not make a contemporaneous record of:
 - a. the date on which the vaccine covered by Charge 1.a) and/or 1.b) and/or 1.c) and or 2. was administered;
 - b. the site and/or route of administration, for the Hepatitis B vaccines administered to Witness 1 and/or Witness 2.
- 16. You failed to provided Witness 2 with details about the Hepatitis B vaccine you administered to her, in a timely manner, following her request for such on 28 July 2022.

AND that by reason of the matters alleged above your fitness to practise is impaired by reasons of misconduct."