

**GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL**

**AND**

**BANGALORE SHASI, Natesh**

**[Registration number: 117493]**

---

**NOTICE OF INQUIRY**

**SUBSTANTIVE HEARING**

---

An inquiry conducted by the Professional Conduct Committee opened on 24 November 2025 and concluded part-heard on 28 November 2025. The hearing will resume **at 9.30am on 19 February 2026**.

**Please note that this hearing will be conducted remotely by video conference.**

For an updated determination containing the most recent decision (and details of previous hearings connected with this case) please visit the Recent Decisions page at <https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions> after this hearing has finished.

---

**Committee members**

Charlotte Carling	DCP	Chair
Amit Gadhavi	Dentist	
Alan McCrum	Lay	

**Advisers:**

Karen Rea	Legal Adviser
-----------	---------------

## **CHARGE**

Natesh BANGALORE SHASI, a dentist, Statutory Exam 2007, BDS Bangalore 1998, was summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 24 November 2025 for an inquiry into the following charge:

“That being registered as a dentist Natesh Bangalore Shasi’s (117493) fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct.

In that:

1. At an appointment on 12 April 2022:
  - a. You asked Patient A “how many previous sexual partners have you had?” or words to that effect, without clinical justification.
  - b. You asked Patient A multiple questions about personal matters, including questions in relation to:
    - i. Where she was from.
    - ii. How old she was.
    - iii. Whether she had children.
  - c. On several occasions during the appointment, you asked Patient A to translate the phrase “would you like to go for a coffee?” or words to that effect, into Russian.
  - d. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient A, in relation to your radiographic practice, in that:
    - i. You prescribed a full Dental Panoramic Tomogram (DPT) without any, or any adequate, clinical justification for doing so.
    - ii. You did not assess and/or record your clinical findings in relation to the full DPT, adequately or at all.
  - e. You informed Patient A, in relation to potential further dental treatment in respect of her other teeth that “If you do it here, it will cost you a lot...but you know what, I work in a private clinic in Birmingham and if you come to me, I can do it for you” or words to that effect.
2. Your actions in relation to charges 1a and/or 1b and/or 1c and/or 1e were:
  - a. Unprofessional.
  - b. Sexually motivated.
3. Your actions in relation to charge 1e were:

- a. Misleading, in that they were liable to give Patient A the false impression that:
  - i. The further dental treatment that you were referring to would have been available at the hospital unit she was attending; and/or
  - ii. She would have to pay for the further dental treatment, if she sought to have it undertaken at the hospital unit and/or at a practice elsewhere.
- b. Dishonest, in that you intentionally sought to mislead Patient A, as set out in charge 3ai and/or 3aii above, in order to induce her to undertake private dental treatment with you, either for the purposes of making a financial gain or alternatively for the purpose of pursuing a sexual relationship with her.

4. In relation to letters sent to you on behalf of the University Hospitals, Coventry and Warwickshire, NHS Trust, concerning their investigation into Patient A's complaint about her appointment with you on 12 April 2022, you failed:

- a. to respond in a timely manner to the letter dated 15 July 2022.
- b. to respond at all to the letter dated 29 September 2022."