GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL

AND

Mohamed-Hashem, Ihab Kalil

[Registration number: 83098]

NOTICE OF INQUIRY

SUBSTANTIVE HEARING

Notice that an inquiry will be conducted by a Practice Committee of the General Dental Council, to be held at:

Dental Professionals Hearings Service
Avon House,
Avonmore Road,
West Kensington,
London,
W14 8TS

Commencing at **10:00am** on **Monday 30 November 2026.**

The heads of charge contained within this sheet are current at the date of publication. They are subject to amendments at any time before or during the hearing. For the final charge, findings of fact and determination against the registrant, please visit the Recent Decisions page at https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions after this hearing has finished.

Committee members:

Chris Weigh	Chair	Lay
Janhvi Amin	Dentist	
Stacey Firby	DCP	

Legal Adviser:

Charles Apthorp	Legal Adviser	30 November – 4 December 2026
Lizzy Acker	Legal Adviser	7 - 11 December 2026

CHARGE

MOHAMED-HASHEM, Ihab Kalil, a dentist, Statutory Exam 2004, is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 30 November 2026 for an inquiry into the following charge:

The hearing will be held to consider the following charge against you:

'That, being registered as a Dentist:

1. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 3 on 22 June 2023, in that you:
    a. Extracted the patient's UR8 in error;
    b. Prescribed antibiotics without a clinical justification.

2. You failed to obtain informed consent from Patient 3 for the extraction of the UR8.

3. On 22 June 2023, after having extracted the UR8 in error, you advised Patient 3 that it needed to be extracted in any event.

4. You failed to maintain accurate records in respect of Patient 3's appointment of 22 June 2023, in that you:

    a. Recorded that the patient had consented to the extraction of the UR8;
    b. Did not record that you had extracted the UR8 in error;
    c. Did not record discussions with the patient about having removed the UR8 in error;
    d. Recorded a report on a radiograph of the UR8 when no such radiograph was available.

5. Your actions at 3 above were:

    a. Misleading;
    b. Dishonest, in that you knew the UR8 did not need to be extracted.

6. Your actions at 4a and/or 4b and/or 4c and/or 4d above were:

    a. Misleading;
    b. Dishonest, in that you knew the records would give a misleading impression of what had taken place.

9. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 4 on 11 January 2024 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

10. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 5 on 11 January 2024 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

11. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 7 on 31 January 2023 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

12. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 8 on 11 January 2024 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

13. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 9 on 11 January 2024 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

14. You failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient 11 on 9 January 2024 in that you prescribed antibiotics without clinical justification.

And, by reason of the matters set out above, your fitness to practise as a Dentist is impaired by reason of your misconduct.'