

**Hearing held in private  
Public Determination**

**Summary**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>REHMAN, Imran [Registration No: 74785]</b>
<b>Type of case:</b>	<b>Health Committee (review)</b>
<b>Outcome:</b>	<b>Indefinite suspension</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>10 April 2014</b>

**The Committee has made a determination in this case that includes some private information. That information has been omitted from the text that follows.**

Ms Power: You represented the Council at this hearing. Mr Rehman was neither present nor represented today.

The Committee first considered whether Mr Rehman had been properly served with the notification of hearing in accordance with Rules 28 and 65 of the General Dental Council (GDC) (Fitness to Practise) Rules 2006 (the Rules). It saw the notification of hearing letter dated 2<sup>nd</sup> December 2013 sent to Mr Rehman's registered address and the Royal Mail track and trace online print-out indicating that a "while you were out card" was left at his address with instructions on how to collect the letter. The Committee noted that the notification of hearing letter was also sent to Mr Rehman's brother, Mr A. Rehman, who had been assisting Mr Rehman informally in corresponding with the GDC. The Committee was satisfied that all reasonable efforts had been made to notify Mr Rehman of this hearing in accordance with the Rules.

You made an application under Rule 53 (2)(a) of the Rules that this hearing should proceed in private in order to protect Mr Rehman's private life as the matters under consideration relate solely to his health. You invited the Committee to hear the rest of the case in private including your application that this hearing should proceed in Mr Rehman's absence. You submitted that the reasons for your application to proceed in Mr Rehman's absence include matters relating to his health. The Committee acceded to your application.

You then made an application under Rule 54 of the Rules that this hearing should proceed in Mr Rehman's absence. The Committee carefully considered your submissions. It accepted the advice of the Legal Adviser.

**IN PRIVATE**

.....

**IN PUBLIC**

As a consequence, pursuant to Section 27C(1)(d) of the Dentists Act 1984, as amended, (the Act), the Committee directs that Mr Rehman's registration be suspended indefinitely from the date on which the current order would otherwise expire.

Pursuant to Section 29 of the Act, Mr Rehman has a right to appeal this direction before the end of the period of 28 days beginning with the date on which notification of this decision is served.

Under Section 27C(4) of the Dentists Act 1984, as amended, Mr Rehman can request a review of this direction after two years have elapsed from the date that the indefinite suspension order takes effect.

That concludes the case for today.