

**Professional Conduct Committee  
Initial Hearing  
10- 17 February 2026**

**Name:** AZARI, Mojgan

**Registration number:** 74127

**Case number:** CAS- 210137-T1W5L4

---

**General Dental Council:** Andrew Molloy, counsel  
Instructed by Rochelle Williams IHLPS

**Registrant:** Present and represented by Stephen Brassington,  
Counsel, instructed by Hill Dickinson Solicitors

---

**Outcome:** No case to answer

---

**Committee members:** Matthew King (Chair, Dentist)  
Jenna Crookes (Dental Care Professional)  
Alastair Cannon (Lay)

**Legal adviser:** Justin Gau

**Committee Secretary:** Jamie Barge

The allegations are as follows:

*That being registered as a dentist Mojgan Azari's (74127) fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct. In that:*

1. *Between 17 March 2021 and 08 August 2022, you failed to provide an adequate standard of care to Patient A; in that:*

a. [REDACTED]

b. [REDACTED]

c. [REDACTED]

d. [REDACTED]

e. [REDACTED]

f. [REDACTED]

g. *You did not obtain a signed treatment plan from Patient A in advance of providing orthodontic treatment;*

2. [REDACTED].

3. [REDACTED]

a. [REDACTED];

b. [REDACTED];

c. [REDACTED]

4. [REDACTED].

5. [REDACTED].

6. [REDACTED]

a. [REDACTED]

b. [REDACTED]

c. [REDACTED]

Ms Azari

1. This is an initial hearing before the Professional Conduct Committee, pursuant to section 27B of the Dentists Act 1984 (as amended) ('the Act').

2. The hearing is being conducted remotely by Microsoft Teams video-link.

3. You are present and represented at these proceedings by Mr Stephen Brassington. Mr Andrew Molloy, Counsel, appears on behalf of the General Dental Council (GDC).

**Application to withdraw heads of charge – 10 February 2026**

4. Mr Molloy made an application under Rule 18 to withdraw heads of charge 1.a, 1.b, 1.f, 4, 5, and 6. He submitted that following a review of the joint expert report there is a requirement to apply for withdrawal of a number of heads of charge.

5. Mr Molloy submitted that the proposed changes were uncontroversial and these withdrawals could be made without injustice to you.

6. Mr Brassington submitted that you do not object to the GDC's application to the withdrawal of these heads of charge.

7. The Committee had regard to the submissions made by Mr Molloy and Mr Brassington. It accepted the advice of the Legal Adviser.

8. The Committee was satisfied that the proposed withdrawals as proposed by Mr Molloy could be made without injustice to either party. Accordingly, the Committee acceded to the GDC's application in respect of heads of charge, 1.a, 1.b, 1.f, 4, 5 and 6.

### **Admissions**

9. Mr Brassington on your behalf informed the Committee that you admit to charge 1.g.

[REDACTED]

10. [REDACTED]

11. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

12. [REDACTED]

13.

14. [REDACTED]

15.

16. [REDACTED]

17.

18. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

19. [REDACTED]

20. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

21. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

22. [REDACTED]

23. [REDACTED]

24. [REDACTED]

25. [REDACTED]

26. [REDACTED]

27. [REDACTED]

28. [REDACTED]

29. [REDACTED]

30. [REDACTED]

31. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

32. [REDACTED]

33. [REDACTED]

34. [REDACTED]

35. [REDACTED]

36. [REDACTED]

37. [REDACTED]

38. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

39. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

40. [REDACTED]

41. [REDACTED]

42. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

43. [REDACTED]

44. [REDACTED]

45. [REDACTED]

46. [REDACTED]

47. [REDACTED]

48. [REDACTED]

49. [REDACTED]

50. [REDACTED]