

GENERAL DENTAL COUNCIL

AND

PACKHAM, Leyla Janessa

[Registration number: 104378]

NOTICE OF INQUIRY

SUBSTANTIVE HEARING

Notice that an inquiry will be conducted by a Practice Committee of the General Dental Council, commencing at **10:00 am** on **18 September 2023**

Please note that this hearing will be conducted remotely by video conference.

The heads of charge contained within this sheet are current at the date of publication. They are subject to amendments at any time before or during the hearing. For the final charge, findings of fact and determination against the registrant, please visit the Recent Decisions page at <https://www.dentalhearings.org/hearings-and-decisions/decisions> after this hearing has finished.

Committee members:	Matthew King	Dentist Chair
	Valerie Atkinson	Lay
	Charlotte Carling	DCP

Legal Adviser: Alain Gogarty

CHARGE

PACKHAM, Leyla Janessa, a dentist, Statutory Exam 2006, is summoned to appear before the Professional Conduct Committee on 18 September 2023 for an inquiry into the following charge:

“That being a registered dentist practising from the [REDACTED] (“the Practice”):

1. Between in or around September 2018 and in or around December 2018 you permitted and/or caused Person 1, a registered dental nurse, to carry out activities at the Practice:
 - a) beyond her scope of practice;
 - b) without any, or any adequate, indemnity cover.
2. Between in or around 2011 and 28 October 2021 you permitted and/or caused Person 2, an individual not registered with the General Dental Council, to take intra-oral scans on one or more occasions.
3. By your conduct at paragraph 2 above you permitted and/or caused Person 2 to carry out activities at the Practice:
 - a) within the scope of practice of a registered dental professional;
 - b) without any, or any adequate, indemnity cover.
4. By your conduct set out at paragraphs 1 and/or 2 and/or 3 above you have put patients at risk.
5. In an email dated 28 October 2021 you stated, “with regard to the General Dental Scope of Practice booklet, [Person 2] carries out none of the activities listed”.
6. Your conduct at paragraph 5 above was:
 - a) Misleading;
 - b) Dishonest, in that you did not believe the statement at paragraph 5 above to be true.

AND as a result of the matters alleged your fitness to practise is impaired by reason of misconduct.”